HEALTH, BEHAVIOR AND SOCIETY, PHD

Overview of PhD Program

The PhD program is designed for students seeking training for careers in social and behavioral sciences, health education, and health communication, most often in academic or research settings. The curriculum emphasizes the application of social and behavioral science perspectives to contemporary health problems. The training in this program focuses on the theoretical perspectives and methods of the social and behavioral sciences that enable scholars to understand and influence the social contexts and behaviors relevant to health.

The PhD degree represents outstanding scholarly achievement and the accomplishment of independent research. The University's Doctor of Philosophy Board oversees the granting of all PhD degrees.

The following two areas are examples of significant work in the PhD program:

Social and Psychological Influences on Health

This area focuses on social and psychological factors and processes in the etiology and prevalence of disease, in health care seeking behavior, adaptation and coping, and disease prevention. Students are exposed to current research on contextual factors and their relation to health knowledge, attitudes and beliefs; social and psychological factors in disease etiology; risk reduction; and cultural influences in public health, including cross-cultural studies.

This sociological and psychological conceptualizations of health and illness, theories of stress and coping, and the special problems in the design and measurement of social and psychological variables are emphasized in the training of the PhD student. The interactive and independent roles of psychosocial factors for disease, with a focus on the social context in which illness is defined and treated, are emphasized. Major social structural divisions such as gender, socioeconomic status, and ethnicity are influential in health outcomes. The basic structure and function of health care systems of societies are also considered in their social-political context.

Health Education and Health Communication

Research and practice in this area focus on how principles from educational, behavioral, social, psychological, and communication theory influence health practices and behaviors conducive to optimal health in individuals, groups, and communities. Students are exposed to current research on health education and communication, with a particular focus on ecological models of health, evaluating multi-faceted intervention programs, and patient-provider communication.

Health education and communication programs are laboratories for the study of effective intervention strategies. Students in this area focus on needs assessment, planning, implementation, and evaluation of comprehensive health promotion programs with an eye toward improving both theory and practice. Specific intervention strategies of interest may include individual behavior change strategies based on learning theory and theories of psychosocial dynamics; use of mass media communication; interpersonal communication; mobilization of social and community support; and advocacy. Program implementation issues such as administrative and staff development and support are also considered. Interventions studied include those directed at patients, health care professionals, administrators, legislators, the general public, or combinations of the above.

Program Requirements

Course location and modality is found on the JHSPH website (https://www.jhsph.edu/courses/).

Our doctoral curriculum is designed to help students master a set of program competencies through corresponding required and recommended courses. Courses listed as required must be taken by all doctoral students. Students should meet with their advisers prior to registering for courses each term to discuss the selection and sequence of HBS recommended courses, as well as courses offered by other departments and divisions appropriate for their individual areas of interest.

Students are expected to take methods courses relevant to the field of their dissertation research. Students who wish to take advanced biostatistics courses (PH.140.651 Methods in Biostatistics I-PH.140.654 Methods in Biostatistics IV) in place of the basic requirements are encouraged to do so, provided they have the necessary background. To register for the advanced series, a working knowledge of calculus and linear algebra is required.

At the end of the first year of coursework, first-year doctoral students take the qualifying exam. This exam tests whether the student has mastered the basic knowledge of the field (as exemplified by the competencies) and whether the student is ready to specialize in a specific area of study.

Students take the Departmental and School-wide Preliminary Oral Examinations in sequence by the end of their third year in the PhD program. In these exams, the goal is for faculty members to examine the student's readiness to conduct independent research. Upon passing, students pursue a research topic under the guidance of an academic adviser and faculty committee. The student's written dissertation is presented in a formal public seminar and then defended at a closed oral examination. Most students complete the PhD within four to five years, and the School requires that students complete within seven years.

Course Requirements - School

The School requires that at least 18 credit units must be satisfactorily completed in formal courses outside the student's primary department. Among these 18 credit units, no fewer than three courses (totaling at least 9 credits) must be satisfactorily completed in two or more departments of the Bloomberg School of Public Health. The remaining outside credit units may be earned in any department or division of the University. This requirement is usually satisfied with the biostatistics and epidemiology courses required by the department and taken in Year 1 of the PhD program.

Students who have completed a master's program at the Bloomberg School of Public Health may apply 12 credits from that program toward this School requirement of taking at least 18 credit units outside the department. Contact the HBS Academic Office for further information.

Council on Education in Public Health (CEPH) Requirements

As of 2019-2020, all students matriculating into a graduate program in an accredited school of public health (such as JHSPH) are required to take courses that expose them to content that covers 12 ‘introductory learning objectives’ before graduation. For PhD students in HBS, some of these exposures will come through regular program courses, and others will
come through ½ credit “Cells to Society” (C2S) online modules developed by the school. The C2S modules will be offered at least twice a year, and will be taken in the first 4 weeks of the term in which they are held. Some courses fulfill more than one learning objective. These courses need to be successfully completed prior to graduation, and it may be advisable to take some of them in years 2 and 3. HBS PhD students will fulfill the CEPH requirements by taking the following courses:

1. Explain public health history, philosophy and values: 552.601.81 Foundational Principles of Public Health
2. Identify the core functions of public health and the 10 essential services of public health: 552.601.81 Foundational Principles of Public Health
3. 3a. Explain the role of quantitative methods and sciences in describing and assessing a population's health: 140.621 Statistical Methods in Public Health 3b. Explain the role of qualitative methods and sciences in describing and assessing a population's health: 410.710 Concepts in Qualitative Methods (or another qualitative course of at least 3 credits)
4. Discuss the science of primary, secondary and tertiary prevention in population health, including health promotion, screening, etc.: 340.721 Epidemiological Inferences in Public Health
5. List major causes and trends of morbidity and mortality in the US or other community relevant to the school or program: 340.721 Epidemiological Inferences in Public Health
6. Explain the critical importance of evidence in advancing public health knowledge: 340.721 Epidemiological Inferences in Public Health
7. Explain effects of environmental factors on a population's health: 552.607.81 Essentials of Environmental Health
8. Explain biological and genetic factors that affect a population's health. Choose 1 of the following: 552.608.81 Biologic, Genetic, and Infectious Bases of Human Disease, 380.604.01 Life Course Perspectives on Health, 550.631.81 Biological Basis of Public Health
9. Explain behavioral and psychological factors that affect a population's health: 410.650 Persuasive Communication
10. Explain the social, political and economic determinants of health and how they contribute to population health and health inequities: 410.860 Graduate Seminar in Social and Behavioral Sciences
11. Explain how globalization affects global burdens of disease: 552.611.81 Globalization and Health: A Framework for Analysis
12. Explain an ecological perspective on the connections among human health, animal health and ecosystem health (e.g. One Health): 552.612.81 Essentials of One Health

Curriculum - Social and Behavioral Sciences

Note: Minimum of 16 credits (including special studies and thesis research) required each term throughout the first 4 years of the PhD program. After Year 4, most students will elect to go part-time, and register for 3 credits per term.

Students must take the free, non-credit mini-course “Introduction to Online Learning (IOL).” Students are now required to take IOL before beginning their first term. See https://courseplus.jhu.edu/core/index.cfm/go/course.home/cid/90/
Highly recommended for those students with little social and behavioral sciences background. Students should discuss this with their advisors to ensure that they have covered the course content and have met the learning objectives of this course in prior coursework. The course should be taken in 1st term by students who plan to take the course.

Students are required to discuss course selections with their advisors prior to registration. Students not taking PH.410.600 FUNDAMENTALS OF HEALTH, BEHAVIOR AND SOCIETY in 1st term are required to select at least one 1st term HBS course in addition to PH.410.860 GRADUATE SEMINAR IN SOCIAL AND BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES and PH.410.863 DOCTORAL SEMINAR IN SOCIAL AND BEHAVIORAL RESEARCH AND PRACTICE (often this will be Sociological Perspectives/410.612).

Highly recommended for those students with little social and behavioral sciences research background. Students should discuss this with their advisors to ensure that they have covered the course content and have met the learning objectives of this course in prior coursework.

**Recommended HBS Courses**

Students should discuss the selection and sequence of recommended and other courses relevant to their research interests with their advisors. Students will select some recommended courses in their first year, other courses may be taken in their second and later years of the program.

Note methodological training requirements (p. 4) in next section.

The Department offers a flexible PhD curriculum. Students are strongly encouraged to balance breadth and depth, theory, and methodology in pursuing training in the Department. The Department has a broad focus, incorporating health education/health communication as well as social and psychological influences on health.

Students are strongly recommended to take at least 24 credits of taught (non-special studies) HBS classes before they sit for their departmental oral exams. For students with a prior masters in HBS or an JHSPH MPH with an SBS concentration, 10 of these credits can be transferred.

HBS courses recommended for doctoral students and offered by term (list does not include required courses noted above):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Credits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Term 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.600</td>
<td>Fundamentals of Health, Behavior and Society</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.620</td>
<td>Program Planning for Health Behavior Change</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.653</td>
<td>Contemporary Issues in Health Communication</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.656</td>
<td>Entertainment Education for Behavior Change and Development</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.733</td>
<td>Communication Network Analysis in Public Health Programs</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.861</td>
<td>Graduate Seminar in Community-Based Research</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.690</td>
<td>Ethnographic Fieldwork</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Term 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.550.601</td>
<td>Implementation Research and Practice (extradepartmental)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.631</td>
<td>Introduction to Community-Based Participatory Research: Principles and Methods</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.340.629</td>
<td>The Epidemiology of LGBTQ Health</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.640</td>
<td>Global Tobacco Control</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.668</td>
<td>Policy Interventions for Health Behavior Change</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.679</td>
<td>Decolonization, Global Communication, and Public Health</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.710</td>
<td>Concepts in Qualitative Research for Social and Behavioral Sciences</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.861</td>
<td>Graduate Seminar in Community-Based Research</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Term 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.654</td>
<td>Health Communication Programs I: Planning and Strategic Design</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.613</td>
<td>Psychosocial Factors in Health and Illness</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.651</td>
<td>Health Literacy: Challenges and Strategies for Effective Communication</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.638</td>
<td>Scientific Writing in Health Sciences: Developing A Manuscript for Publication I</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.672</td>
<td>Introduction to Campaigning &amp; Organizing for Public Health</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.721</td>
<td>Translating Research into Public Health Programs and Policy</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.752</td>
<td>Children, Media, and Health</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.755</td>
<td>Health Communication Programs</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.861</td>
<td>Graduate Seminar in Community-Based Research</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.712</td>
<td>Theory and Practice in Qualitative Data Analysis and Interpretation for The Social and Behavioral Sciences</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Term 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.610</td>
<td>Health and Homelessness</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.611</td>
<td>Under Pressure: Health, Wealth &amp; Poverty</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.620</td>
<td>Program Planning for Health Behavior Change</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.625</td>
<td>Injury and Violence Prevention: Behavior Change Strategies</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.711</td>
<td>Doctoral Seminar in Mixed Methods for Public Health Research</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.630</td>
<td>Implementation and Sustainability of Community-Based Health Programs</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.640</td>
<td>Global Tobacco Control</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.655</td>
<td>Health Communication Programs II: Implementation and Evaluation</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.657</td>
<td>Communication Strategies For Sexual Risk Reduction</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.660</td>
<td>Latino Health: Measures and Predictors</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.663</td>
<td>Media Advocacy and Public Health: Theory and Practice</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.672</td>
<td>Introduction to Campaigning &amp; Organizing for Public Health</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.675</td>
<td>Critical Analysis of Popular Diets and Dietary Supplements</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.680</td>
<td>Social Ecological Approaches to Health Regimen Adherence in Chronic Conditions</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.617</td>
<td>Foundations of University Teaching and Learning</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.639</td>
<td>Scientific Writing in Health Sciences: Developing A Manuscript for Publication II</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.722</td>
<td>Translating Research into Public Health Programs II</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.861</td>
<td>Graduate Seminar in Community-Based Research</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
School of Public Health course listings for courses in HBS and other departments: https://www.jhsph.edu/courses/

Students also have the opportunity to take courses in other divisions of the University. Contact Records and Registration regarding interdivisional course registration procedures. https://www.jhsph.edu/offices-and-services/student-affairs/records-and-registration/interdivisional-registration.html

**Additional Requirements in Methodological Training**

In addition to the specific required courses listed above, students are required to complete, prior to their preliminary oral examination, at least one course in each of four areas of methodological training in the social and behavioral sciences: quantitative methods (QN), qualitative methods (QL), evaluation methodologies (EV), and methods applications specific to the social and behavioral sciences (SBS). These courses should be taken for letter grade and not on a Pass/Fail basis. From the menu of courses listed below, students should carefully choose methods training by considering both their previous training and future research goals. Departmental faculty should be consulted as needed.

One course in each of the four areas is considered the minimum; students are encouraged to build their methodological expertise in all areas relevant to their proposed thesis activities and scientific areas of interest. It is valuable for students to seek both breadth and depth in methods training. Therefore, we strongly recommend that students also elect an area of methodological focus, and take multiple courses (3 or more) in this area. We additionally recommend that all students take at least two courses in Qualitative area.

Students who would like to propose taking a methods course not currently listed in lieu of the listed courses may, with their advisor’s consent, request such a substitution in writing to the doctoral program director.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.686</td>
<td>Advanced Quantitative Methods in The Social and Behavioral Sciences: A Practical Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.690</td>
<td>Ethnographic Fieldwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.710</td>
<td>Concepts in Qualitative Research for Social and Behavioral Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.712</td>
<td>Theory and Practice in Qualitative Data Analysis and Interpretation for The Social and Behavioral Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.224.691</td>
<td>Qualitative Data Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.711</td>
<td>Doctoral Seminar in Mixed Methods for Public Health Research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PH.330.657</td>
<td>Statistics for Psychosocial Research: Measurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.340.606</td>
<td>Methods for Conducting Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.380.712</td>
<td>Methods in Analysis of Large Population Surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.380.611</td>
<td>Fundamentals of Program Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.380.612</td>
<td>Applications in Program Monitoring and Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.380.603</td>
<td>Demographic Methods for Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.380.711</td>
<td>Issues in Survey Research Design</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SBS Research Approaches (SBS)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PH.380.602</td>
<td>Demographic Methods for Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.380.603</td>
<td>Demographic Methods for Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.380.711</td>
<td>Issues in Survey Research Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.410.631</td>
<td>Introduction to Community-Based Participatory Research: Principles and Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.221.638</td>
<td>Health Systems Research and Evaluation in Developing Countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.340.677</td>
<td>Infectious Disease Dynamics: Theoretical and Computational Approaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.340.717</td>
<td>Health Survey Research Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.380.601</td>
<td>Demographic Methods for Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH.380.711</td>
<td>Issues in Survey Research Design</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Qualitative Reasoning in Public Health (550.604) cannot count towards fulfilling the qualitative requirements for HBS PhD students

**Course/Credit Load**

The Department strongly encourages doctoral students to register for fewer than 19 credits (including special studies and thesis research) in any one academic term. While a credit registration of more than 18 credits is possible through the registration system, departmental faculty think that the additional course burden prohibits doctoral students from dedicating the appropriate time needed for the educational activities being undertaken. Any decision to register for more than 18 credits should be carefully considered and discussed with the student’s adviser prior to registering. Doctoral students should register for a minimum of 16 credits each term; the maximum number of credits per term is 22.

**Satisfactory Academic Progress**

Doctoral students in the Department of Health, Behavior, and Society are expected to maintain satisfactory academic standards for the duration of the degree program. In the Department, satisfactory academic progress is defined as follows:

1. A minimum grade point average (GPA) of 3.00. Any doctoral student who does not obtain the minimum 3.00 GPA by the end of the third term during the first year will not be permitted to sit for the written qualifying exams. In this situation, an appropriate course of action will be determined by the Department Chair. If students fall below a 3.0 GPA, they have 2 terms to re-gain at least a 3.0 GPA.

2. All courses required for the program must be taken for a letter grade. All HBS departmental courses must be taken for a letter grade except with prior consent of the adviser. The pass/fail option may only be used for elective courses and only with the consent of the student’s adviser.

3. No grades below a “B” in core courses. Any required course (or course taken to fulfill a methodological requirement) for which a grade
of C or below is received) must either be retaken, or an alternative mechanism for material mastery must be agreed upon between the PhD program director, the adviser and the student. This should be successfully completed before taking the schoolwide oral exam.

4. All grades will be calculated into the student's GPA.

5. All doctoral students will have their transcript evaluated at the end of their first year. This evaluation will be completed in conjunction with the qualifying exam review process.

**Independent Developmental Plans (IDP)**

It is now university policy that each Ph.D. student and Post Doctoral Fellow should develop an individual development plan (IDP) in conjunction with their adviser. This is in line with the 2014 NIH notice that strongly encourages the development of an institutional policy on Individual Development Plans for all graduate students and postdoctoral scholars who are supported by NIH funds. Beginning in 2017-2018, all matriculating PhD students must complete an IDP, review it with their adviser and submit a signed IDP form for departmental records on an annual basis.

The IDP is a mechanism for self-reflection as well as a communication and planning tool for the student and their faculty mentor/s. The IDP can be useful to make sure that the student's and the adviser's expectations are clearly outlined and in agreement so that there are no big surprises, particularly at the end of the student's training.

The goal of the IDP and the annual review process is to support the student in his/her success in the program and in attaining readiness for their intended future career. To this end, the IDP creates a structure for the student to:

1. assess current skills, interests, and strengths;
2. make a plan for developing skills to meet academic and professional goals; and
3. communicate and collaborate with supervisors, advisers, and mentors about evolving goals and related skills.

The onus to engage in the IDP process is on the student, with the support and input of the adviser. Although the IDP is kept on file in the department, it is primarily a document for use by the student. Through the IDP process, it is possible that the student may decide to identify various additional mentors to whom they can go for expertise and advice.

Once an IDP is written, it is expected that it will be revisited and revised by the student and their adviser (and when appropriate, the dissertation committee) on an annual basis, and that this review will be integrated into an annual review process for each student. It is expected that the department will keep a record of this document, and of the process by which it was developed and revised.

There are three aspects of the HBS IDP that will be completed on an annual basis, and submitted to the Academic Program Administrator (L. Robin Newcomb) by January 15th of each year. The IDP summary and the signature form will both be kept in the student’s departmental file.

1. **Self assessment and goal setting**, primarily accomplished by the student through the completion of one of 2 'self assessment tools'.
   b. Or, the AAAS IDP tool, which is required for NIH training grants is accessible at the following website: t (https://myidp.sciencecareers.org)

2. **Adviser's response to the plan.** It is required that the adviser be involved in a review and possible refinement of the student's IDP process. In most instances, an adviser's feedback will be provided in the context of an in-person meeting. There may be circumstances where it makes sense to involve other members (such as co-advisers, training program leaders, or members of the thesis committee). There may also be times (such as if remote feedback is undertaken) where review of a plan needs to occur via skype or phone call. In all cases, students and advisers should discuss the plan submitted/amended.
   a. The student should set up a meeting with their adviser specifically to review the IDP. The IDP should be forwarded to the adviser in advance of this meeting so that the adviser has time to review before the meeting. The student and the adviser should discuss the IDP and possibly revise (e.g. add goals or members of the mentorship team).
   b. Both student and adviser should sign the signature form. The student must scan this form and send electronic copies of the IDP and the form to Academic Program Administrator (L. Robin Newcomb), cc'ing his/her adviser and the PhD program director (Danielle German).

3. **Annual Departmental and program feedback to the student.** Written feedback will be provided from the department/program, that evaluates student progress in the program to date and the student's graduation trajectory, and progress towards stated career goals. Departmental feedback should be integrated into the IDP process. After Year 1, students will receive written departmental feedback before the beginning of the first term of each year. The goals outlined in the IDP will be reviewed in preparation of this feedback. Other elements of the IDP will not factor into departmental feedback.

**Ideas for Items/Topics to Consider in Creation/Review of the IDP**

**Year 1**

1. Career goal for PhD program (long term goals)
2. Coursework plans/goals for Year 1 (other than required courses)
3. Coursework plans/goals before embarking on dissertation
4. Skills assessment (areas of strength and needs for additional training)
5. Goals for establishment of professional identity and network
6. Goals for research opportunities in coming year/entire PhD program
7. Goals for teaching opportunities
8. Goals for practice opportunities
9. Funding targets/opportunities
10. Preparation for qualifying exams

**Year 2/3 (before departmental and schoolwide preliminary exams)**

1. Achievements/goals met over the past year
2. Challenges faced over the past year
3. Career goal for PhD program (long term goals)
4. Remaining coursework plans/goals (other than required courses)
5. Skills assessment (areas of strength and needs for additional training)
6. Goals for establishment of professional identity and network

**Departmental/Program Developmental Plans**

- Written feedback will be provided from the department/program, that evaluates student progress in the program to date and the student's graduation trajectory, and progress towards stated career goals. Departmental feedback should be integrated into the IDP process. After Year 1, students will receive written departmental feedback before the beginning of the first term of each year. The goals outlined in the IDP will be reviewed in preparation of this feedback. Other elements of the IDP will not factor into departmental feedback.

**Annual Developmental Plans (IDP)**

It is now university policy that each Ph.D. student and Post Doctoral Fellow should develop an individual development plan (IDP) in conjunction with their adviser. This is in line with the 2014 NIH notice that strongly encourages the development of an institutional policy on Individual Development Plans for all graduate students and postdoctoral scholars who are supported by NIH funds. Beginning in 2017-2018, all matriculating PhD students must complete an IDP, review it with their adviser and submit a signed IDP form for departmental records on an annual basis.

The IDP is a mechanism for self-reflection as well as a communication and planning tool for the student and their faculty mentor/s. The IDP can be useful to make sure that the student's and the adviser's expectations are clearly outlined and in agreement so that there are no big surprises, particularly at the end of the student's training.

The goal of the IDP and the annual review process is to support the student in his/her success in the program and in attaining readiness for their intended future career. To this end, the IDP creates a structure for the student to:

1. assess current skills, interests, and strengths;
2. make a plan for developing skills to meet academic and professional goals; and
3. communicate and collaborate with supervisors, advisers, and mentors about evolving goals and related skills.

The onus to engage in the IDP process is on the student, with the support and input of the adviser. Although the IDP is kept on file in the department, it is primarily a document for use by the student. Through the IDP process, it is possible that the student may decide to identify various additional mentors to whom they can go for expertise and advice.

Once an IDP is written, it is expected that it will be revisited and revised by the student and their adviser (and when appropriate, the dissertation committee) on an annual basis, and that this review will be integrated into an annual review process for each student. It is expected that the department will keep a record of this document, and of the process by which it was developed and revised.

There are three aspects of the HBS IDP that will be completed on an annual basis, and submitted to the Academic Program Administrator (L. Robin Newcomb) by January 15th of each year. The IDP summary and the signature form will both be kept in the student’s departmental file.

1. **Self assessment and goal setting**, primarily accomplished by the student through the completion of one of 2 'self assessment tools'.
   b. Or, the AAAS IDP tool, which is required for NIH training grants is accessible at the following website: t (https://myidp.sciencecareers.org)

2. **Adviser's response to the plan.** It is required that the adviser be involved in a review and possible refinement of the student's IDP process. In most instances, an adviser's feedback will be provided in the context of an in-person meeting. There may be circumstances where it makes sense to involve other members (such as co-advisers, training program leaders, or members of the thesis committee). There may also be times (such as if remote feedback is undertaken) where review of a plan needs to occur via skype or phone call. In all cases, students and advisers should discuss the plan submitted/amended.
   a. The student should set up a meeting with their adviser specifically to review the IDP. The IDP should be forwarded to the adviser in advance of this meeting so that the adviser has time to review before the meeting. The student and the adviser should discuss the IDP and possibly revise (e.g. add goals or members of the mentorship team).
   b. Both student and adviser should sign the signature form. The student must scan this form and send electronic copies of the IDP and the form to Academic Program Administrator (L. Robin Newcomb), cc'ing his/her adviser and the PhD program director (Danielle German).

3. **Annual Departmental and program feedback to the student.** Written feedback will be provided from the department/program, that evaluates student progress in the program to date and the student's graduation trajectory, and progress towards stated career goals. Departmental feedback should be integrated into the IDP process. After Year 1, students will receive written departmental feedback before the beginning of the first term of each year. The goals outlined in the IDP will be reviewed in preparation of this feedback. Other elements of the IDP will not factor into departmental feedback.

**Ideas for Items/Topics to Consider in Creation/Review of the IDP**

**Year 1**

1. Career goal for PhD program (long term goals)
2. Coursework plans/goals for Year 1 (other than required courses)
3. Coursework plans/goals before embarking on dissertation
4. Skills assessment (areas of strength and needs for additional training)
5. Goals for establishment of professional identity and network
6. Goals for research opportunities in coming year/entire PhD program
7. Goals for teaching opportunities
8. Goals for practice opportunities
9. Funding targets/opportunities
10. Preparation for qualifying exams

**Year 2/3 (before departmental and schoolwide preliminary exams)**

1. Achievements/goals met over the past year
2. Challenges faced over the past year
3. Career goal for PhD program (long term goals)
4. Remaining coursework plans/goals (other than required courses)
5. Skills assessment (areas of strength and needs for additional training)
6. Goals for establishment of professional identity and network
Research Hours
The School requires all doctoral students to engage in research in addition to the research conducted as part of their dissertation, so that they will gain exposure to and experience in different research skills, and approaches. While HBS encourages students to work within the Department, students are free to pursue opportunities of interest throughout the School, University, or off-campus. Research hours can be fulfilled by engaging in either paid or unpaid research tasks.

The research hours can involve participation in any of the following aspects of research, including but not limited to:

- elements of research design (literature review and development of the conceptual framework of a study);
- community development and liaison activities;
- community needs assessment and its related social, epidemiological, behavioral, or political diagnosis;
- development and piloting of health interventions or materials;
- data analysis and interpretation;
- policy analysis;
- literature reviews;
- manuscript preparation;
- grant preparation and any other form of research approved by the adviser.

Students must discuss their plan for fulfilling the research hours requirement with their academic adviser and have the plan approved by their academic adviser prior to engaging in the research tasks. Students are expected to engage in at least two different research tasks, which may be related to a single study or two separate studies. These tasks should reflect different elements of the research design as outlined above. The student must identify a primary mentor to work with for each of the tasks, and this mentor must agree to serve in this capacity by signing the research hours form in advance. Up to 50% of the required hours can be accomplished through off-campus work, as long as the work has been approved by the student's academic adviser. A student’s academic adviser can serve as a primary mentor for one but not both of the research tasks. A minimum of 300 hours for total work on research tasks is required, with at least 100 hours on each task.

The research hours should be completed between matriculation and the Departmental preliminary oral exam. Completion of this requirement will be monitored by the Department through submission of the Research Hours Form to the HBS Academic Office. Please contact the Academic Office for the form.

Departmental Preliminary Oral Examination
Students must successfully pass the Departmental preliminary oral examination before taking or scheduling the School-wide preliminary oral exam. The format of the exam is similar to the School-wide preliminary oral exam and is intended to determine if the student is academically prepared to pass the School-wide preliminary oral exam and to carry out independent dissertation research. Students must have successfully completed the departmental qualifying exam before taking the departmental or schoolwide oral exam.

The examination requires the student to prepare a dissertation protocol that will be examined by the committee members beforehand in advance of the exam. This protocol should be between 7,000 and 9,000 words (rough guide) and no more than 10,000 words. The proposal should provide the committee with the student’s rationale for the proposed study and the research questions to be examined and the approach and methods the student proposes to use.

The departmental preliminary oral exam committee consists of four faculty members and an alternate. The student’s adviser is included in the four committee members. All committee members should have primary appointments in the Department of Health, Behavior, and Society. (An exception is made when the student’s adviser has a primary appointment in another department and a joint appointment in HBS.) The senior faculty member from the department who is not the student’s adviser will serve as chair of the committee. The exam is closed, with only the committee members and the student in attendance.

The student will coordinate the date of the exam with the exam committee members and will distribute a copy of the research proposal to all committee members at least three weeks before the exam is scheduled to be held. The student is required to complete the Departmental Oral Form, available from the HBS Academic Office. The information required on this form includes the names of the committee members, the dissertation proposal, the research design, the proposed study, and the research questions.
members, the title of the research protocol and the date, time, and location of the exam. Committee members will receive formal written notification of the exam date and time by memo.

Immediately following the examination, the committee evaluates the success or failure of the student. One of the following results must be reported to the HBS Academic Program Administrator by the Committee Chair. The two main criteria to determine the outcome of this exam are:

1. The student is academically prepared to pass the School-wide oral examination.

2. The student is academically prepared to carry out his/her dissertation research.

   Note: The exam is an evaluation of the student's general academic preparation and is not limited to an assessment of the student's proposal or the details of the proposed study.

Based on the above criteria, students can then receive:

1. Unconditional Pass: If the members each vote "unconditional pass" on the first ballot, this result is reported with no further discussion. If one or more members vote "conditional pass" or "fail," then the committee should discuss the specific concerns of those members as discussed below.

2. Conditional Pass: The committee may decide that further evidence of qualifications is necessary and impose a specific condition that the candidate must fulfill within a given period of time. Those who feel the need for a condition or failure must convince the others, or vice versa. The committee should make a concerted effort to reach a consensus. In the case of a conditional pass, the committee will remain appointed until the condition is removed. Terms of the condition and its removal must be reported in writing to the HBS Academic Office. Students will not be permitted to sit for the School-wide preliminary oral until the conditions have been removed. It is recommended that conditions are met within six months unless otherwise recommended by the examination committee.

3. Failure: If a majority of the committee decides that the candidate has failed the exam, the committee must recommend a future course of action. A student will be permitted to retake the exam only once. The committee may recommend one of the following:
   a. Reexamination by the same Committee
   b. Reexamination by a new committee.

### HBS Guidelines for the Dissertation Proposal

**Research Plan:** The student must provide a narrative project description which contains a detailed discussion of the following specific points.

1. An introduction which describes the public health problem and brief overview of the sample, aims/research questions, and public health significance.
2. A literature review which describes the supporting literature and a synthesis of the themes, gaps, and weaknesses in the literature related to the social and behavioral aspects of the proposed project.
3. A conceptual framework and theoretical foundations discussion.
4. The methodology section will discuss sampling, recruitment, data collection procedures, measures, analysis, and steps that will be taken to protect human subjects as appropriate.
5. The strengths and limitations of the proposed project.
6. Timeline for completion of the proposed study.

7. Other pertinent information deemed appropriate by the student and their adviser such as facilities and resources, timeline, etc.

### School-wide Preliminary Oral Examination

The School-wide preliminary oral examination takes place after the student has successfully completed the departmental qualifying examination and the departmental preliminary oral examination and completed PH.550.600 LIVING SCIENCE ETHICS - RESPONSIBLE CONDUCT OF RESEARCH (it is only offered in 1st term). You will not be approved to complete the school-wide exam if you have not taken this course. The purpose of this examination, as stated in the School's Policy and Procedure Memorandum (PPM), is to determine whether the student has both the ability and knowledge to undertake significant research in his/her general area of interest. Specifically, the examiners will be concerned with the student's:

- capacity of logical thinking;
- breadth of knowledge in relevant areas;
- ability to develop and conduct research leading to a completed dissertation.

Discussion of a specific research proposal, if available, may serve as a vehicle for determining the student's general knowledge and research capacity. However, this examination is not intended to be a defense of a specific research proposal.

It is a School requirement that the School-wide preliminary oral exam be taken by the end of the student's third year in residence and before significant engagement in their own research. Note: The school has placed a time limit of three years between matriculation into a degree program and successful completion of the preliminary oral exam. Students are encouraged to keep this time limit in mind when planning their academic schedule.

All requests for extensions beyond the stated time periods to take and pass the School-wide Preliminary Oral Examination or to complete the doctoral degree requirements must be approved by the Committee on Academic Standards. School policy regarding extension requests: https://my.jhsph.edu/Offices/StudentAffairs/RecordsRegistration/AcademicInformation/Pages/default.aspx Contact the Academic Administrator for the most up-to-date information on extension policies.

The School-wide preliminary oral examination must be scheduled at least one month in advance by submission of a preliminary oral examination form to the Academic Program Administrator (L. Robin Newcomb). Instructions on scheduling the examination and information on committee composition are available on the Records and Registration web site: https://my.jhsph.edu/Offices/StudentAffairs/RecordsRegistration/DoctoralCandidateInfo/Pages/default.aspx

### IRB Approval

Among the many issues students should consider in developing a research study is the issue of whether it will qualify as human subjects research. The JHSPH IRB office is charged with making sure that research studies involving human subjects comply with federal, state, and local law, as well as institutional policy. More information about
Dissertation Advisory Committee
The progress of each doctoral student is followed regularly, at least once a year by the dissertation chair, and co-advisors, and at least once per term, by the student and his/her adviser, with the consent of the Department chair, decide on the composition of this committee. The objective of the Dissertation Advisory Committee is to provide continuity in the evaluation of the student’s progress during the dissertation phase of the student’s training. Students should form their advisory committees and obtain IRB approval soon after passing their preliminary oral exams and well before the Office of Graduate Education and Research deadline.

Each month, the Office of Graduate Education and Research will generate a report of the students who passed their Preliminary Oral Exam within the past three months. (Students receiving a conditional pass must check with their advisers as a first step in understanding the process and evaluating whether the proposed study will involve human subjects research.

Human subjects research includes both primary data collection from living humans and secondary data analysis of identifiable private information, and use of existing identifiable biospecimens. All student studies involving data about humans should be submitted to the IRB. A faculty member must serve as the Principal Investigator for all student research projects. The IRB website includes extensive FAQs about general IRB topics, using the electronic application system (PHIRST), completing the CITI human subjects research training, and student research. If there are further questions, contact the IRB office (410-955-3193) and make an appointment to review any questions with a research subjects specialist.

Dissertation Guidelines
All doctoral students must complete an original investigation presented in the form of a dissertation. The dissertation must be based on original research, worthy of publication, and acceptable to the Department of Health, Behavior, and Society and to a committee of dissertation readers. During the student’s application process, various research ideas may have been discussed with faculty members. However, each student’s dissertation proposal must be developed, reviewed, and found acceptable to departmental faculty while the candidate has been enrolled as a doctoral student.

The traditional doctoral dissertation consists of a statement of the problem and specific aims; a literature review; data and research methods; analyses and results; and a discussion of findings and their implications. The form these take will reflect the specific academic discipline or orientation guiding the student’s research. Doctoral students also have the option of a manuscript-oriented dissertation as an alternative to the traditional dissertation. See the “Dissertation Policy for PhD Students” report of the dissertation advisement committee on manuscript formats.

Students should discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each option with their adviser before deciding on a dissertation strategy.

Completion of a satisfactory investigation in the principal subject and its presentation in the form of a dissertation, approved by a committee of the faculty, is the next step toward the doctoral degree. The material contained in the dissertation should be worthy of publication in a scientific journal in the field involved. To establish this committee, the student and adviser recommend four faculty members to serve as dissertation readers. These faculty members, one of whom is the dissertation adviser, should hold an appointment as Assistant Professor or above and represent at least three departments of the University and at least two departments of the School of Public Health. One member must hold the rank of Associate Professor or Full Professor and not hold a joint appointment in the student’s department. This individual will serve as the Chair of the Final Oral Examination Committee. One adjunct or one scientist faculty member may serve on the Committee, but not both. All faculty members must serve as Dissertation Readers representing the department of their primary faculty appointment.

The committee of readers may be increased to five members provided the conditions stated above are satisfied for four readers. If a fifth member was approved to serve as a Dissertation Reader, that individual does not have voting privileges on the Final Examination Committee.

Oral Defense of Dissertation
The oral defense of the dissertation by the candidate before a committee of the faculty is the final step for the doctoral degree candidate. Instruction and forms for the appointment of dissertation readers and scheduling the final oral exam can be accessed at https://my.jhsph.edu/Offices/StudentAffairs/RecordsRegistration/DoctoralCandidateInfo/Pages/default.aspx.
Records and Registration requires that the “Appointment of Dissertation Readers & Final Oral Examination Committee” form be submitted at least one month in advance of the proposed date.

The completed form must be submitted to the HBS Academic Office for review. The Academic Program Administrator will obtain the Department Chair’s signature and forward the form to Records and Registration. Committee members should be given at least 30 days to properly read the dissertation before the defense, and the “Dissertation/Dissertation Approval Form,” signed by the adviser, should be included with the dissertation copies. The adviser should consult with committee members at least two weeks prior to the exam date to ensure that the student is ready to proceed with the exam.

Students must be continuously registered up to and including their term of completion. A doctoral student is not considered complete at the time he/she passes their final defense. Note that students must be registered in the term of their final oral exam. Doctoral students who schedule their exams after the end of 4th term must register for summer term. They then have until the end of the add/drop period of the following term to complete all requirements. Students are considered complete:

1. when copies of his/her acceptance letters from the Examining Committee Chair and Dissertation Adviser are on file in the Office of Records & Registration;
2. the dissertation is submitted electronically to The Milton S. Eisenhower Library; and
3. a pdf copy of the dissertation and a dissertation form submitted to the academic administrator once approved by the library.

Students should be sure to check both graduation and registration deadlines with the academic administrator well in advance.

**Public Seminar**

As a culminating experience, all doctoral students are required by the School to present a formal, public seminar. A room that holds no less than 25 people should be reserved for the public seminar. A three-hour period should be allowed for the final oral examination, consisting of the public seminar and session with the examination committee. It will begin with an approximately 45 minute public seminar followed by 15 minutes of Q&A with the audience. This will be immediately followed by the closed portion of the examination, which is closed to all except the doctoral candidate and the examination committee. Records and Registration posts the seminar announcement to the School’s events calendar.

**Dissertation Policy for HBS Doctoral Students**

Students in HBS have the choice of completing a “traditional” doctoral dissertation or a manuscript-oriented dissertation. Ideally, this decision should be made by the time the student undergoes the departmental preliminary oral examination. There are advantages and disadvantages to each option which should be carefully discussed with the student’s adviser.

Each of these options is described briefly below.

The **traditional doctoral dissertation** generally consists of an abstract, five chapters, references, and any appendices. The outline of chapters below is merely a guide. The pages numbers are rough estimates, and the form of the chapters will vary, reflecting the academic discipline or orientation of the student’s research.

- **Abstract**: The abstract is a short overall summary of the work. It lays out the purpose(s) and aims of the study, the methods, and the key results and implications. The abstract generally is 2-3 double spaced pages.

**Chapter 1: Introduction: Statement of the Problem and Specific Aims.** This chapter, which tends to be relatively short (5-6 double spaced pages), provides an introduction to the dissertation. It describes briefly why this work was undertaken, what background conditions or data suggested it was an important problem, and what, then, this project was intended to accomplish.

**Chapter 2: Literature Review.** The literature review summarizes existing literature that informed the dissertation research. It generally is organized topically. The literature review tends to be a fairly detailed review, particularly for those topics most directly related to the content and methods of the dissertation. The literature review tends to be 30-60 pages in length.

**Chapter 3: Methods.** The content of the methods chapter varies tremendously with the methodological approach taken by the student for the dissertation research. With traditional empirical studies, it will generally include the specific aims, research questions, and/or hypothesis; a description of the source of study data, a description of the study instrument and its development, if relevant; a description of secondary data obtained, if relevant; analytic methods, including data cleaning, creation of a data set, creation of variables and/or qualitative codes, types of analyses done, and human subjects issues. The methods chapter ranges from 20-40 pages.

**Chapter 4: Results.** The results chapter reports the main findings of the dissertation. It often is organized by research question or specific aim or hypothesis, but need not necessarily follow this format. The results chapter ranges from 25-50 pages.

**Chapter 5: Discussion of Results and Policy Implications.** The discussion chapter both summarizes key findings and discusses findings in light of existing literature and in light of their policy implications. Also included generally are a description of the study’s limitations and implications for future research. The Discussion chapter is generally 25-50 pages.

**References**: A listing of all citations used for the dissertation must be provided. The Department allows any standard format for references.

**Appendices**: Appendices can be used for many purposes. They can include study instruments, if relevant; they can include additional tables not included in the main body of the dissertation; also to be included must be a copy of the student’s CV. The traditional dissertation should be able to “stand alone” without appendices, however, so results should never be put in appendices that are key to the study’s main findings.

All components of the traditional dissertation will be judged by the committee to be one of the following: Acceptable, Acceptable with Revisions, or Unacceptable. Students, with guidance from their adviser, will rework their dissertation until all components are judged acceptable.

The **manuscript dissertation** consists of the following:

- A total of three (or more) papers, linked to the student’s dissertation topic. One of these papers may be the literature review, provided it is a comprehensive critical review, suitable for publication.
If one of the three papers is not a literature review, the dissertation must still contain a chapter that critically surveys the literature.

- A chapter which integrates and discusses the findings reported in the manuscripts. It should include a discussion of the conclusions of the research, and it should make recommendations for further studies.
- An appendix outlining in detail the study methods and any accompanying data tables necessary to fully understand the data.

A manuscript-oriented dissertation must also meet the following criteria:

- The doctoral student must be the first author on the three manuscripts used to satisfy this requirement.
- No manuscript will be accepted as part of the dissertation if it was submitted for publication before the student passes the School-wide preliminary oral exam.

As is true for the traditional doctoral dissertation, all components of the manuscript-oriented dissertation, will be judged to be one of the following: Acceptable, Acceptable with Revisions, or Unacceptable. Students, with guidance from their adviser, will rework their dissertation until all components are judged acceptable.

Role of Faculty Adviser in Relation to the Dissertation:

The adviser’s role is to facilitate successful completion of the doctoral dissertation. The type of assistance provided should be tailored to the individual student’s needs. Both the traditional dissertation and the manuscript-oriented dissertation must reflect work that is the student’s independent and original work. The adviser, then, can and should provide ongoing and critical feedback, but the research must be that of the student.

Maintaining this balance may be particularly challenging for manuscript-oriented theses. Even if the adviser (or another committee member) will be a co-author on a manuscript, the manuscripts must be viewed first and foremost as fulfilling the student’s needs in the dissertation process, with publication as a secondary goal. Advisers or other committee members who are co-authors may not undertake the first draft of any portions of the manuscripts nor substantial re-writes. Whether an adviser will be a co-author on any manuscript should be decided early in the dissertation process.

Thesis guidelines and deadlines: https://my.jhsph.edu/Offices/StudentAffairs/RecordsRegistration/DoctoralCandidateInfo/Pages/default.aspx

Link to School PPM on PhD Degree https://my.jhsph.edu/Resources/PoliciesProcedures/ppm/PolicyProcedureMemoranda/Academic_Programs_15_Doctor_of_Philosophy_Degree_GTPCI_071417.pdf

Career Development Resources for PhD Students

The JHSPH Career Services Office provides a variety of assistance including individual career coaching, a university wide job and employer database (http://jhu.joinhandshake.com/), career development workshops and events (https://www.jhsph.edu/offices-and-services/career-services/events/), a list of career resources (https://www.jhsph.edu/offices-and-services/career-services/for-students/career-resources/), and an annual career fair (https://www.jhsph.edu/offices-and-services/career-services/events/career-fair/). More information is available here: https://www.jhsph.edu/offices-and-services/career-services/for-students/

The Professional Development and Career Office (PDCO) provides professional development training and career services to support PhD students and Postdoctoral Scholars in designing their life. The PDCO supports academic careers by providing grant writing workshops, teaching opportunities at local undergraduate institutions and through an annual academic job search series. It also supports career exploration outside the academy by hosting alumni career panels, organizing an alumni mentorship program, running leadership workshops and by offering paid internships in science policy, consulting, business development, etc. PDCO staff can also meet with PhD students or post-doctoral fellows one on one to meet their specific career goals. The PDCO services are outlined here: https://pdco.med.jhmi.edu. They also send monthly emails that list events for PhDs happening across the university (sent through the doctoral student listserv).

Milestones for the PhD Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Dates</th>
<th>Task/Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Year</td>
<td>Introductory Advisor Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before 1st term registration</td>
<td>Course selections – Discussion of required and highly recommended courses, courses in area of interest, and special studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before 2nd term registration</td>
<td>Identify professional and educational goals. Review deadlines. Review the Individual Development Plan Procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before 3rd term registration</td>
<td>Advisor Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before 4th term registration</td>
<td>Advisor Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By end of first year</td>
<td>Advisor Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By end of second year</td>
<td>Advisor Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By end of third year</td>
<td>Advisor Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By end of fourth year</td>
<td>Advisor Meeting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By end of first year:

- Student has discussed research hours requirement with advisor
- Departmental qualifying exam in June

By end of second year:

- Student has discussed research hours requirement with advisor
- Departmental qualifying exam in June

By end of third year:

- Student has discussed research hours requirement with advisor
- Departmental qualifying exam in June

By end of fourth year:

- Student has discussed research hours requirement with advisor
- Departmental qualifying exam in June
Annually, post prelim oral exam
At least once per term
Terms 1-4
Third Year
Terms 1-4
Fourth Year
Terms 1-4

**Timetable for Completion of Degree Requirements**

Please direct questions regarding the timetable for completion of degree requirements to the Office (ebudlow1@jhu.edu) of Records & Registration (JHSPH.Registra@jhu.edu).

**Advising**

All students are assigned a faculty adviser at the time of admission to the program. Adviser assignments are based, in part, on compatibility of the student and faculty research or practice interests. Advisers play an important role in the student’s academic life. The adviser is expected to keep abreast of school and departmental degree requirements so that he or she can counsel students on courses and the proper progression towards the degree. Students should consult with their advisers prior to registering for courses each term. In addition, any special requests or petitions that a student submits to any of the administrative offices of the School will require the endorsement of the student’s adviser as well as that of the department chair.

As students move through their degree programs, they may elect to choose a different adviser, depending on their chosen area of concentration and the dissertation topic selected, or for other reasons. In that event, the student should contact the preferred faculty member to determine if that person is able to assume responsibility as the student’s adviser. If so, the student should notify the department in writing of an adviser change, obtaining the signatures of the prior adviser and the new adviser, and submit the signed notification to their respective program director for approval. Once approved, notify the Academic Program Administrator so that they change may be processed.

Each student is required to meet with his or her adviser at least once per academic term to discuss satisfactory academic progress, to plan for fulfillment of degree requirements, and to review and modify course selection plans for the next term. These meetings are formally scheduled before each major registration period. HBS students are responsible for scheduling these meetings with their advisers. The Academic Program Administrator works closely with the faculty advisers and also provides guidance to students with the School and departmental academic policies and procedures.

- Students are expected to engage in pre-planning for these meetings.
- Both advisers and students should be aware of and understand curriculum policies and procedures.
- Students and advisers should identify future professional career goals and interests.
- The adviser and student should review the student’s tentative curriculum and course schedule, and alternatives should be identified.
- Any major issues or questions about academic programs and non-academic problems should be identified and discussed.
- The academic program administrator, the student, and the adviser should be aware of the administrative policies and procedures affecting payment of tuition and fees, academic eligibility for scholarships, loans, and college work-study support. The academic program administrator, working with the student and adviser, can help clarify and identify funding opportunities as well as provide guidance regarding academic policies and procedures.
Policy on Mentoring Commitments for PhD Students and Faculty Advisers

Johns Hopkins University has a commitment to quality mentoring of PhD students, in support of the mission of excellence in PhD education at Johns Hopkins. As such, the university requires every PhD-granting school to undertake ALL of the following:

1. Distribute “JHU Mentorship Commitments of Faculty Advisers and PhD Students” to all PhD students and all PhD-advising faculty at least annually;
2. Identify when and by whom (role) the “JHU Mentorship Commitments of Faculty Advisers and PhD Students” will be distributed annually to students and to faculty advisers;
3. Ensure that the “JHU Mentorship Commitments of Faculty Advisers and PhD Students” are included in student handbooks
4. Ensure that the “JHU Mentorship Commitments of Faculty Advisers and PhD Students” are included in both new student orientation and new faculty orientation materials and/or sessions;
5. Identify a point person within each PhD program or department, as well as at the school level, to whom students can go if they have questions or concerns related to their own PhD adviser. This should include:
   a. A description of how it is communicated to students that they may go to this named person with questions or concerns about advising;
   b. A description of the functions the person may perform to assist with the advising situation (e.g., mediation, coaching, training, co-mentoring, switching advisers).
   c. A description of the back-up procedure should the primary person be involved in the situation him/her/themselves or be temporarily unavailable.

In addition, it is the responsibility of the school’s dean’s office to ensure, either within the school as a whole or within each PhD program (or through a combination thereof), that at least two strategies (e.g., from list below, or others) will be used to enhance and support a good mentoring environment. Examples of mentoring supports are listed below. Additional supports, and additional innovative ideas to support good mentoring, are encouraged.

1. Dean’s or chair’s communication about the importance of good PhD advising and mentoring with supporting description of where to go with any concerns;
2. Workshops, lunches, or discussions about PhD mentoring (could include external guests with experience with mentoring, case discussions among faculty, best practice discussions, discussions of hard cases, etc.);
3. Mentoring awards:
   • Smaller vs. larger number given annually within a school
   • With or without financial award
   • High visibility and celebration around awardees

4. Training on how to be a great mentor:
   • Length, format, target audience, topics, etc. to be determined by program and/or school
5. Robust thesis committee structure
   • Required 1-2x annually
   • Goal: Broader intellectual input to student’s work; also can diffuse singular power of mentor
   • May choose to allow time in each meeting when i) the adviser leaves the room; and ii) the student leaves the room.
   • Letter generated after meeting with consensus of where things stand and goals for upcoming year. Distributed to student and all committee members
6. Mechanism to provide feedback on adviser’s and student’s adherence to commitments:
   • Option: More formal survey/evaluation of each commitment
   i. Returned to adviser/student?
   ii. Collected by program head or department chair?
   iii. Collected centrally by an institutional research office within school?

7. Mentoring mavens
   • Each school identifies a few highly-effective faculty mentors to be master mentors, able to chat with or coach others, able to counsel students, able to serve on panels providing tips for good mentoring; also serves as important recognition
8. Any other strategy suggested by the program or school that is also designed to support a culture of excellence in mentoring

JHU Mentorship Commitments of Faculty Advisers and PhD Students

This document outlines mentoring expectations of faculty advisers and of PhD students at Johns Hopkins University. These expectations should be discussed together.

Facultadvisers should commit to the following responsibilities:

Training:
   • The PhD adviser has the responsibility to mentor the PhD student. This responsibility includes committing to the training of their PhD student, building on the PhD student’s individual professional background and in support of their individual professional aspirations.
   • The PhD adviser has the responsibility to participate in ongoing and regular meetings with their advisees to discuss academic and research progress. The adviser and student should agree
on expected frequency of and preparation for meetings and use meetings to brainstorm ideas, troubleshoot challenges, and outline next steps. The adviser should identify a coadviser/mentor should the primary adviser be unavailable for an extended period (sabbatical, leave, etc.).

- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to participate in a formal annual meeting with the student to discuss academic progress and next steps in the academic program. This responsibility includes helping to ensure that the document summarizing this annual discussion is completed and submitted in accordance with program requirements.
- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to encourage their advisees to reach out, as relevant, to additional co-advisers or informal mentors.
- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to participate in informational sessions. The adviser should help to ensure that the document summarizing this annual meeting with the PhD student is heard.
- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to contribute to a training environment that fosters independent, scholarly research, and professional growth.

Research

- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to provide guidance in scholarly research. This responsibility includes helping to identify a workable research project and helping to set reasonable goals and timelines for research completion. The adviser should encourage the student to expand their skill sets and share ideas with others at Johns Hopkins and externally.
- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to monitor research progress. The adviser should encourage effective use of time. The adviser should meet regularly with the PhD student to hear updates on progress, results, and challenges in activities and research.

Professional development:

- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to discuss career development with the PhD student, including in any number of sectors of interest to the student. PhD advisers should assist in identifying resources to further the student’s professional goals.
- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to participate in a formal annual meeting with the PhD student to discuss professional development goals. The adviser should help to ensure that the document summarizing this discussion is completed and submitted in accordance with program requirements.
- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to nominate the student for relevant professional opportunities and try to connect their advisees to relevant professional contacts and networks.
- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to allow time outside of research for student engagement in professional development activities including, for example, skill building workshops, professional conferences, additional research collaborations, or other informational sessions.

Respectful engagement and well-being:

- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to treat their advisees, other students, and colleagues with respect at all times.
- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to commit to being available to meet with the PhD student. The adviser and the student should agree on expected frequency of and preparation for meetings, and expected time frame for responding to emails and for providing feedback on work products. The PhD adviser should give their full attention during meetings and should reach out to PhD students who are not making contact.
- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to provide support during both successful and discouraging periods of training.
- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to communicate in a respectful and constructive manner, including if the adviser has concerns that the PhD student is not meeting the expectations outlined in this document. This responsibility includes using concrete and specific language when providing suggestions or critiquing work.
- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to take an interest in the student’s well-being, to listen to any concerns, and to connect the student, as appropriate, with additional resources.

Policies:

- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to become familiar with and respect University, school, and program policies for PhD students. The adviser will acknowledge all PhD student benefits and entitlements, including, as relevant, paid and unpaid leave.
- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to discuss with the student relevant policies, commitments, and expectations related to funding, work, research assistantships, teaching assistantships, sick leave, or vacation.

Responsible conduct:

- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to become familiar with university and professional codes of responsible conduct for PhD students. This responsibility includes reporting any possible violations as required to relevant parties, including to the relevant Dean’s office and to the Office of Institutional Equity.
- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to discuss and help clarify authorship or intellectual property issues and appropriately recognize the student’s contributions to any collaborative work.
- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to model professional behavior in both interpersonal interactions and in scholarly integrity.
- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to complete Title IX Training regarding sexual misconduct and sexual harassment as required by the University. http://oie.jhu.edu/training/

Continuous quality improvement as an adviser:

- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to participate in mentor training and best practices discussions. This responsibility includes striving to be a better mentor and to learn tips and practices that improve their work and skills as an adviser.
- The PhD adviser has the responsibility to ask advisees for constructive feedback on mentoring. This responsibility includes doing their best to respond professionally to these suggestions and consider whether or how best to incorporate them into their mentoring interactions.

PhD students should commit to the following responsibilities:

Training:

- The PhD student has the primary responsibility for the successful completion of their degree.
- The PhD student has the responsibility to familiarize themselves with academic milestones and to strive to meet all milestones within the expected timeframe.
Respectful engagement and well-being:

- The PhD student has the responsibility to meet regularly with the PhD adviser. This responsibility includes providing the adviser with updates on the progress, outcomes, and challenges in coursework, research, and academic or professional activities. The adviser and the student should agree on expected frequency of and preparation for meetings, and will use meetings to brainstorm ideas, troubleshoot challenges, and outline expectations for work and timelines.
- The PhD student has the responsibility to participate in a formal annual meeting with the adviser to discuss academic progress and next steps in the academic program. The student should ensure that the document summarizing this discussion is completed and submitted in accordance with program requirements.
- The PhD student has the responsibility to seek additional mentors to expand their training experience, as appropriate.
- The PhD student has the responsibility to understand their funding package and to clarify any work and/or teaching expectations in line with this funding.

Research:

- The PhD student has the responsibility to work with the adviser to develop a thesis/dissertation project. This responsibility includes establishing a timeline for each phase of work and striving to meet established deadlines.
- The PhD student has the responsibility to seek guidance from their adviser, while also aspiring increasingly for independence.
- The PhD student has the responsibility to engage in activities beyond their primary research responsibilities. The student should attend and participate in any research-related meetings and seminars relevant to their training area.

Professional development:

- The PhD student has the primary responsibility to identify their professional goals and to develop their career plan following completion of the PhD degree. This responsibility includes familiarizing themselves with professional development opportunities within Johns Hopkins and externally. Students should identify specific activities to pursue that will advance their professional development and networking.
- The PhD student has the responsibility to prepare a Professional Development Plan annually that outlines their research and career objectives. This responsibility includes discussing this plan annually with the adviser. The student should ensure that the document summarizing this discussion is completed and submitted in accordance with program requirements.

Respectful engagement and well-being:

- The PhD student has the responsibility to treat the adviser, other mentors, and colleagues with respect at all times.
- The PhD student has the responsibility to make themselves available, within reason, to meet with the adviser upon request.
- The PhD student has the responsibility to communicate in a respectful and constructive manner if they have concerns that the adviser is not meeting the expectations outlined in this document.
- The PhD student has the responsibility to be open to constructive criticism by the adviser, other mentors, and colleagues.
- The PhD student has the responsibility, as possible, for their well-being, should consider discussing any concerns with the adviser or other mentor(s), and should connect with available resources when needed.

Policies:

- The PhD student has the responsibility to familiarize themselves and comply with University, school, and program-specific policies and requirements for PhD students.
- The PhD student has the responsibility to discuss with the adviser relevant policies, commitments, and expectations related to funding, work, research assistantships, teaching assistantships, sick leave, or vacation. As needed, the student will provide any documentation relevant to stated policies on leave and other requirements to the student’s program, school, or the University.

Responsible conduct:

- The PhD student has the responsibility to conduct themselves in a responsible and ethical manner at all times.
- The PhD student has the responsibility to familiarize themselves with University codes of responsible conduct for PhD students.
- The PhD student has the responsibility to engage in responsible research conduct. This responsibility includes completing the responsible conduct of research training requirements of their specific school and program, and any specific discipline training requirements (e.g., animal and human subject work). The student will maintain accurate and contemporaneous records of research activities in accordance with the norms of best practices in their own discipline. The student should discuss authorship and intellectual property issues with the adviser.
- The PhD student has the responsibility to complete Title IX Training regarding sexual misconduct and sexual harassment as required by the University. http://oie.jhu.edu/training/

Satisfactory Academic Progress

Doctoral students in the Department of Health, Behavior and Society are expected to maintain satisfactory academic standards for the duration of the degree program. Satisfactory academic progress is defined as follows:

1. A minimum grade point average (GPA) of 3.00. Any doctoral student who does not obtain the minimum 3.00 GPA by the end of the third term during the first year will not be permitted to sit for the written qualifying exams. In this situation, an appropriate course of action will be determined by the Department chair. If students fall below a 3.0 GPA, they have 2 terms to re-gain at least a 3.0 GPA.

2. All courses required for the program must be taken for a letter grade. All HBS departmental courses must be taken for a letter grade except with prior consent of the adviser. The pass/fail option may only be used for elective courses and only with the consent of the student’s adviser.

3. No grades below a “B” in required courses. Any required course (or course taken to fulfill a methodological requirement) for which a grade of C or below is received must either be retaken, or an alternative mechanism for material mastery must be agreed upon between the PhD program director, the adviser and the student. This should be successfully completed before taking the school-wide oral exam.

4. All grades taken for a grade will be calculated into the student’s GPA.
5. All doctoral students will have their transcript evaluated at the end of their first year. This evaluation will be completed in conjunction with the qualifying exam review process.

**HBS IRB Approval**

Among the many issues students should consider in developing a research study is the issue of whether it will qualify as human subjects research. The JHSPH IRB office is charged with making sure that research studies involving human subjects comply with federal, state, and local law, as well as institutional policy. The IRB has posted a guidance document on its website called "What needs review by IRB?" which you may access from this page: http://www.jhsph.edu/offices-and-services/institutional-review-board/student-projects/other-degree-students.html. More information about student projects is available here: http://www.jhsph.edu/offices-and-services/institutional-review-board/student-projects/.

The IRB office has prepared a student manual at http://www.jhsph.edu/offices-and-services/institutional-review-board/_pdfs-and-docs/Student%20Manual_V15_17Jul14_Final.pdf. Students should review this manual carefully and discuss the issues as they relate to the proposed project with their advisers as a first step in understanding the process and evaluating whether the proposed study will involve human subjects research.

Human subjects research includes both primary data collection from living humans and secondary data analysis of identifiable private information, and use of existing identifiable bio-specimens. All student studies involving data about humans should be submitted to the IRB. A faculty member must serve as the Principal Investigator for all student research projects. The IRB website includes extensive FAQs about general IRB topics, using the electronic application system (PHIRST), completing the CITI human subjects research training, and student research. If there are further questions, contact the IRB office (410-955-3193) and make an appointment to review any questions with a research subjects specialist.

**HBS Extension Request for Completion of Degree Requirements**

The School’s PPM governing the PhD program requires students to defend their thesis within seven years of matriculation. Failure to meet this deadline necessitates the submission of an extension request by the student to both the Department and the School before they are permitted to continue in the program.

A request for an extension of time to complete the degree must be submitted at least two months prior to the conclusion of the 7th year in the program and may not exceed four terms.

The request is first submitted to your HBS adviser for review, and if approved, is forwarded to the Student Matters Subcommittee of the School’s Committee on Academic Standards (CAS). All requests must include the following information or will not be considered:

- A letter of request, initiated and signed by the student, stating the rationale for the request
- A supporting letter signed by the adviser
- Timetable and plan developed by the student in collaboration with the student’s adviser and members of the thesis advisory committee that provide specific milestones from completion; agreement to this plan should be indicated in writing by member(s) of the thesis advisory committee
- A (student) copy of the current transcript
- If the HBS adviser approves the request, a supporting letter from the Department will be included in the request that is forwarded to the school for final approval.

Our curriculum is designed to help students master the following competencies:

- Analyze and theorize the influences of social context and behavior on health with the aim of developing, evaluating, and implementing solutions to pressing public health challenges in Baltimore, the United States and around the world
- Apply, develop and critically evaluate interdisciplinary, ecological, and other multi-level theoretical models of health and health behavior to societal, structural, community and organizational influences on health behaviors, disease, and injury
- Design, conduct and disseminate rigorous and innovative social and behavioral sciences research of relevance to public health
- Develop, implement and evaluate behavioral and structural interventions to prevent disease and injury, alleviate illness and disability, improve the quality of life and reduce health disparities
- Critically evaluate, synthesize, and question the theoretical/conceptual orientation and perspectives on health, risk, illness and health interventions
- Lead and collaborate as an expert social and behavioral scientist on a team of public health investigators